arXiv:math/0403063v1 [math.GT] 3 Mar 2004

A counterexample to the (unstable) Gromov-Lawson-Rosenberg conjecture

Thomas Schick*
Fachbereich Mathematik — Universität Göttingen
Bunsenstr. 3
37073 Göttingen
Germany

February 1, 2008

Abstract

Doing surgery on the 5-torus, we construct a 5-dimensional closed spin-manifold M with $\pi_1(M) \cong \mathbb{Z}^4 \times \mathbb{Z}/3$, so that the index invariant in the KO-theory of the reduced C^*-algebra of $\pi_1(M)$ is zero. Then we use the theory of minimal surfaces of Schoen/Yau to show that this manifolds cannot carry a metric of positive scalar curvature. The existence of such a metric is predicted by the (unstable) Gromov-Lawson-Rosenberg conjecture.

1 Obstructions to positive scalar curvature

1.1 Definition. A manifold M which admits a metric of positive scalar curvature is called a pscm-manifold.

We start with a discussion of the index obstruction for spin manifolds to be pscm, constructed by Lichnerowicz [6], Hitchin [4] and in the following refined version due to Rosenberg [9].

1.2 Theorem. *Let M^m be a closed spin-manifold, $\pi := \pi_1(M)$. One can construct a homomorphism, called index, from the singular spin bordism $\Omega_*^{spin}(B\pi)$ to the (real) KO-theory of the reduced real C^*-algebra of π:*

$$\mathrm{ind} : \Omega_*^{spin}(B\pi) \to KO_*(C^*_{red}\pi)$$

Let $u : M \to B\pi$ be the classifying map for the universal covering of M. If M is pscm, then

$$\mathrm{ind}([u : M \to B\pi]) = 0 \in KO_m(C^*_{red})$$

---

*e-mail: thomas.schick@uni-math.gwdg.de www: http://www.uni-math.gwdg.de/schick/

Gromov/Lawson [3] and Rosenberg [8] conjectured that the vanishing of the index should also be sufficient for existence of a metric with positive scalar curvature on M if $m \geq 5$. This was proven by Stefan Stolz [14] for $\pi = 1$, and subsequently by him and other authors also for a few other groups [8, 5, 1, 10].

In dimension ≥ 5 there is only one additional obstruction for pscm, the minimal surface method of Schoen and Yau, which we will recall now. (In dimension 4, the Seiberg-Witten theory yields additional obstructions). The first theorem is the differential geometrical backbone for the application of minimal surfaces to the pscm problem:

1.3 Theorem. *Let (M^m, g) be a manifold with positive scalar curvature, $\dim M = m \geq 3$. If V is a smooth $m-1$-dimensional submanifold of M with trivial normal bundle, and if V is a local minimum of the volume functional, then V admits a metric of positive scalar curvature.*

Proof. Schoen/Yau: [11, 5.1] for $m = 3$, [12, proof of Theorem1] for $m > 3$. $\square$

The next statement from geometric measure theory implies applicability of the previous theorem if $\dim(M) \leq 7$.

1.4 Theorem. *[7, chapter 8] and references therein, in particular [2, 5.4.18]*
Suppose M^m is a smooth orientable closed manifold, $\dim M = m \leq 7$. Suppose $0 \neq x \in H_{m-1}(M, \mathbb{Z})$. Then a smooth orientable closed $m-1$-dimensional submanifold V of M exists which represents x and which has minimal volume under all currents which represent x. In particular, V is a local minimum of the volume functional with orientable (hence trivial) normal bundle.

This implies the following statement about homology and cohomology which was observed by Stephan Stolz. Let X be any space.

1.5 Definition. For $m \geq 2$ we define

$$H_m^+(X, \mathbb{Z}) := \{f_*[M] \in H_m(X, \mathbb{Z});\ f : M^m \to X \text{ and } M \text{ is pscm}\}$$

1.6 Corollary. *Let X be any space, $\alpha \in H^1(X, \mathbb{Z})$. Cap-product with α induces a map*

$$\alpha\cap : H_m(X, \mathbb{Z}) \to H_{m-1}(X, \mathbb{Z}).$$

If $3 \leq m \leq 7$, then $\alpha\cap$ maps $H_m^+(X, \mathbb{Z})$ to $H_{m-1}^+(X, \mathbb{Z})$.

Proof. If $f : M^m \to X$ represents $x \in H_m^+(X, \mathbb{Z})$ and M is a pscm-manifold, then by theorems 1.3 and 1.4 the class $f^*\alpha \cap [M]$ is represented by $N^{m-1} \overset{j}{\hookrightarrow} M$ and N is also pscm. In particular $\alpha \cap x = f_*(f^*\alpha \cap [M])$ is represented by $f \circ j : N \to X$, i.e. $\alpha \cap x \in H_{m-1}^+(X, \mathbb{Z})$. $\square$

2 The Counterexample

To produce a counterexample to the unstable Gromov-Lawson-Rosenberg conjecture, we use the only other known obstruction for pscm, namely the minimal surface method explained above.

The fundamental group will be $\pi := \mathbb{Z}^4 \times \mathbb{Z}/3$. We start with the computation of the KO-theory of the $C^*\pi$-algebra. Note that the reduced C^*-algebra of the product of two groups is the (minimal) tensor product of the individual C^*-algebras [13, p. 14–15]. By [13, p. 14 and 1.5.4]

$$KO_n(C^*_{red}(\mathbb{Z}^4 \times \mathbb{Z}/3)) \cong \bigoplus_{i \in I} KO_{n-n_i}(C^*_{red}(\mathbb{Z}/3)); \qquad |I| < \infty$$

For finite groups, it is well known that their KO-theory is a direct sum of copies of the KO-theories of $\mathbb{R}$, $\mathbb{C}$ and $\mathbb{H}$. In particular, it is a direct sum of copies of $\mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathbb{Z}/2$. Therefore, the same is true for π:

2.1 Proposition. *$KO_*(C^*_{red}\pi)$ is a direct sum of copies of $\mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathbb{Z}/2$. In particular, its torsion is only 2-torsion.*

We will now construct a spin manifold M^5 with $\pi_1(M) = \pi$, so that the class $[u : M \to B\pi] \in \Omega_5^{spin}$ is 3-torsion. Then, automatically

$$\operatorname{ind}(u : M \to B\pi) = 0 \in KO(C^*_{red}\pi)$$

2.2 Example. Let $p : S^1 \to B\mathbb{Z}/3$ be a map so that $\pi_1(p)$ is surjective and equip S^1 with the spin structure induced from D^2. This is 3-torsion since $\tilde{\Omega}_1^{spin}(B\mathbb{Z}/3) \cong H_1(B\mathbb{Z}/3, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/3$ (use the Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence). Consider the singular manifold

$$f = id \times p : S^1 \overbrace{\times \cdot \times}^{4} S^1 \times S^1 \to S^1 \overbrace{\times \cdot \times}^{4} S^1 \times B\mathbb{Z}/3 = B\pi$$

This is then 3-torsion in $\Omega_5^{spin}(B\pi)$. Doing surgery we can construct a bordism $F : W \to B\pi$ in $\Omega_5^{spin}(B\pi)$ from f to some $u : M \to B\pi$ where u is an isomorphism on π_1.

Now, M is a manifold with trivial index, and we have to show that it is not pcsm. Assume that the converse is true.

We study the homology and cohomology of π first. By the Künneth theorem

$$\begin{aligned} H_1(B\pi, \mathbb{Z}) &= x_1\mathbb{Z} \oplus \cdots \oplus x_4\mathbb{Z} \oplus y\mathbb{Z}/3 \\ H^1(B\pi, \mathbb{Z}) &= a_1\mathbb{Z} \oplus \cdots \oplus a_4\mathbb{Z} \\ 0 \neq w = x_1 \times \cdots \times x_4 \times y &\in H_5(B\pi, \mathbb{Z}) \\ 0 \neq z = x_4 \times y = a_1 \cap (a_2 \cap (a_3 \cap w)) &\in H_2(B\pi, \mathbb{Z}) \end{aligned}$$

We use the map

$$B_* : \Omega_*^{spin}(X) \to H_*(X, \mathbb{Z}) : [f : M \to X] \mapsto f_*[M]$$

which is an edge homomorphism in the Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence. Of course, $w = f_*([T^5]) = u_*[M]$ is the image under this transformation of the singular manifold we consider.

If M would admit a pscm, then

$$w \in H_5^+(B\pi)$$

Iterated application of theorem 1.6 implies that

$$0 \neq z \in H_2^+(B\pi)$$

But there is only one two dimensional oriented manifold with positive curvature, namely S^2. Since $\pi_2(B\pi) = 0$ any map $g : S^2 \to B\pi$ is null homotopic. In particular $g_*[S^2] = 0 \in H_2(B\pi, \mathbb{Z})$, and therefore $H_2^+(B\pi, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$.

This is the desired contradiction and M does not admit a metric with positive scalar curvature.

The proof relies on the existence of torsion in π. Therefore, one may still conjecture that the unstable Gromov-Lawson-Rosenberg conjecture is true for torsion free groups.

3 Acknowledgements

To work on the counterexample was inspired by talks of Stephan Stolz where he expressed his opinion that the original GLR-conjecture is false. The author wants to thank Stephan Stolz for useful and enlightening conversations on the subject. Stolz conjectures that a weaker form, the so called stable GLR-conjecture, is true (compare [15]) and shows [16] that this conjecture follows from the Baum-Connes conjecture.

References

[1] **Botvinnik, B.I., Gilkey, P., and Stolz, S.**: "*The Gromov-Lawson-Rosenberg conjecture for space form groups*", in preparation

[2] **Federer, H.**: "*Geometric measure theory*", vol. 153 of *Grundlehren der math. Wissenschaften*, Springer (1969)

[3] **Gromov, M. and Lawson, H.B.**: "*Positive scalar curvature and the Dirac operator on complete Riemannian manifolds*", Publ. Math. IHES 58, 83–196 (1983)

[4] **Hitchin, N.**: "*Harmonic spinors*", Advances in Mathem. 14, 1–55 (1974)

[5] **Kwasik, S. and Schultz, R.**: "*Positive scalar curvature and periodic fundamental groups*", Comm. Math. Helv. 65, 271–286 (1990)

[6] **Lichnerowicz, A.**: "*Spineurs harmoniques*", C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, séries 1 257, 7–9, Zentralblatt 136.18401 (1963)

[7] **Morgan, F.**: “*Geometric measure theory, a beginner’s guide*”, Academic press (1988)

[8] **Rosenberg, J.**: “*C^*-algebras, positive scalar curvature, and the Novikov conjecture*”, Publ. Math. IHES 58, 197–212 (1983)

[9] **Rosenberg, J.**: “*C^*-algebras, positive scalar curvature, and the Novikov conjecture III*”, Topology 25, 319–336 (1987)

[10] **Rosenberg, J. and Stolz, S.**: “*The “stable” version of the Gromov-Lawson conjecture*”, Contemporary Mathematics 181, 405–418 (1995)

[11] **Schoen, R. and Yau, S.-T.**: “*Existence of incompressible minimal surfaces and the topology of three dimensional manifolds with non-negative scalar curvature*”, Annals of Mathematics 110, 127–142, MR 81k:5802 (1979)

[12] **Schoen, R. and Yau, S.T.**: “*On the structure of manifolds with positive scalar curvature*”, manuscr. mathem. 28, 159–183 (1979)

[13] **Schröder, H.**: “*K-theory for real C^*-algebras and its applications*”, vol. 290 of *Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics*, Longman Scientific & and Technical (1993)

[14] **Stolz, S.**: “*Simply connected manifolds of positive scalar curvature*”, Annals of Mathematics 136, 511–540 (1992)

[15] **Stolz, S.**: “*Positive scalar curvature metrics–existence and classification*”, preprint (1994)

[16] **Stolz, S.**: “*The Baum-Connes conjecture implies the stable Gromov-Lawson-Rosenberg Conjecture*”, Vortrag auf der Konferenz ”Geometric Groups and Bounded Topology“, Schloß Ringberg (1996)